MINUTES  Italics are UHS responses to member questions.

- **Spring meeting rescheduling**
  - Fridays 11:30am-12:30pm - tentative time
  - Bahar to follow up with Jeff and Elioth

- **Re-cap timeline for spring**
  - See updated timeline (new format)
  - Corrections: add SHAC Feb 3, shift SHIAC to Fridays on the grid; will repost on web.

- **UC Student Health Insurance Forum meeting on Feb 9**
  - Student question: When will the other UCs be deciding on their bids?
    - Berkeley started earlier than the other UCs.
    - Generally at these meetings other campuses signal where they are in the process, but don’t disclose final decisions.

- **Spring outreach plan discussion**
  - Feb 11 is an ideal date for student forum. Some concern from GA over the Monday holiday, and students wanting to leave town. However, Feb 18 is also held, but UHS felt it will be too late in the process for students to give essential feedback. SHIAC agreed that Feb 11 is better date.
  - Bahar added Will Morrow to the group of ASUC/GA members meeting to discuss forums.
  - Format being considered pending more conversation with GA and ASUC:
    - Overview 20-30 mins presentation, rest of it open. Could be small groups, discussion, Q+A. We would like to take questions as we present data.
      - Note from student: Make sure to include glossary of insurance terms.
May be focusing on the top 2-3 options if consensus exists on who top 3 are by this point. If we can narrow it down, we can spend more time talking about specific details within a fewer set of plans.

We want to allow plenty of time for students to share voices, experiences and ask questions.

- Bid update and preview of next meeting 1/29
  - Six companies bid
    - UC SHIP, Anthem, Aetna, United Healthcare, CHP (Consolidated Health Plans) - partner with other companies to provide insurance, CHP AIG combined bid for self-funded plan
    - Initial information is much better than we anticipated. Top companies bid with only single digit increases (in scenario where UG continue to subsidize grads)
    - UHS wants to propose taking benefit cuts off the table to SHIAC, and if supported, propose this to Rosemarie. Is there group agreement?
      - Marten wants to survey students about it and not assume students want to keep full benefits.
        - Bahar suggested he speak to Andrew Smith at Grad Division about feasibility of sending out all student survey. Some concerns raised about representativeness of responses.
        - Claudia mentioned we traditionally look to ASUC, GA, SHAC, CSF, and SHIAC to be our representative voices in this process.
    - We will continue to have discussions about this with other stakeholder groups too.
      - Not all of the carriers bid on all the scenarios. Wells Fargo going back to them to see if they will bid on all scenarios. We’ll have more information at next week’s meeting.
      - Graduate and undergrad split plans - not all bid for this.
      - Dependent - not all bid on this. It’s looking feasible to consider adding back voluntary plans, including dependents.
      - Another difference is “excess only.” Over winter break, Department of Insurance reported back to Aetna that SHIP can’t be excess only; SHIP needs to be primary provider for dual insurance. If you are dependent on one plan and primary on SHIP, Aetna pays first. Still clarifying with UC SHIP if they are excess only.
You will have information on paper at next week’s meeting, but not in advance as the Broker will be working on analyzing bids and getting final details from bidders right up until our meeting.

Differences in the plans for Athletic coverage. UC SHIP is unclear, so trying to define.

- We currently have about 850 athletes, but not all of them are on SHIP.
- Seems counterintuitive that adding student athlete coverage didn’t increase bids. Group discussion about how this could impact us in future years if their claims are high. Utilization in unknown at this time, so this is a hypothetical conversation at this point. Leadership assures group we are in discussion with Athletics about this issue and about potential need for Athletics to subsidize increase costs to SHIP as a result.

**Question:** Are there any more rulings pending on Division 1 athletics? Are we certain we have to include them?

- **Dept of Insurance evaluates each plan individually. Aetna was told they had to cover athletes for Berkeley. Not the case for all other companies; not all have heard from the Dept of Insurance yet. We are waiting to hear from UC SHIP on what they have to cover.**
  - When they file minimum essential coverage (MEC), that’s when this decision point comes in.
- **Athletes will still have athletic insurance because of NCAA rulings for Division 1 athletes.**

Claudia: what you will see next week is that there are usually clear front runners, and it usually easier to identify the “junk”. I’m hoping there is comfort that we can prioritize the good, viable, relevant plans. If there is consensus of the top ones, we’d like to propose focusing on those top choices for the forum.

**Action items/Follow up**

- Bahar to follow up with Jeff and Elioth on whether 11:30am-12:30pm works for them
- Bahar correct timeline to add SHAC Feb 3, shift SHIAC to Fridays; Kim will repost on web.
- Marten will send out survey to SHIAC for feedback. Group wants to ensure we have a representative student response or will be difficult to base decisions on the data.